MGMAL

(602) 258-8850

Timothy M. Hogan (004567)

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST 202 E. McDowell Rd., Suite 153



1 2

3

4

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

RECEIVED

2001 MOV -6 P 4: 00

Attorneys for Arizona School Boards Association and Arizona Association of School Business Officials

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY BOB STUMP Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV - 6 2009

DOCKETED BY

Docket No. E-04204A-09-0206

NOTICE OF FILING DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ARIZONA SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION AND ARIZONA ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL BUSINESS OFFICIALS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNS ELECTRIC, INC FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF UNS ELECTRIC, INC. DEVOTED TO ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA.

The Arizona School Boards Association ("ASBA") and the Arizona Association of School Business Officials ("AASBO"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby provide notice that they have this day filed the written direct testimony of Chuck Essigs in connection with the above-captioned matter.

1

ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

By ' Timothy M. Hogan

202 E. McDowell Rd., Suite 153

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorneys for Arizona School Boards Association and Arizona Association of **School Business Officials**

Arizona Corporation Commission

DATED this 6th day of November, 2009.

mailed this 6th day of November,

Attorneys for UNS Electric, Inc.

UniSource Energy Services One S. Church Ave. Tucson, AZ 85701

Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 Steve Olea, Director **Utilities Division** Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel **RUCO** 1110 W. Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, AZ 85007

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, CHAIRMAN GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY BOB STUMP

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNS ELECTRIC, INC. FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF UNS ELECTIC, INC. DEVOTED TO ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA.

Docket No. E-04204A-09-0206

Direct Testimony of

CHUCK ESSIGS

on behalf of

Arizona School Boards Association (ASBA) and Arizona Association of School Business Officials (AASBO)

November 6, 2009

1 2 3		Direct Testimony of Chuck Essigs, AASBO Docket No. E-04204A-09-0206
4	0	DI ELAGE CELATE MOND NAME AND DUGINEGG ADDRESS
5 6	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
7 8 9	A.	Chuck Essigs. My business address is 2100 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.
10	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?
11		
12 13 14	A.	I am the Director of Governmental Relations for the Arizona Association of School Business Officials. My background is described in more detail in the resume I have attached to this Testimony.
15	0	ON WHOSE DEVIALE ARE YOURDOWNING TESTINONN IN THUS
16	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU PROVIDING TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
17 18		PROCEEDING:
10 19	A.	I am providing testimony on behalf of the Arizona School Boards Association and
20	A.	the Arizona Association of School Business Officials.
21		The Arizona School Boards Association ("ASBA") represents 1200 plus school
21		board members and over 1.12 million Arizona children. ASBA's mission is to
23		promote community volunteer governance of public education and continue
2 <i>3</i> 24		improvement of student success by providing leadership and assistance to public
2 4 25		school governing boards.
26 26		The Arizona Association of School Business Officials ("AASBO") provide
20 27		services to members in school districts in Arizona. AASBO has approximately
28		1,300 members. It provides a number of conferences and training classes to
29		school district employees and provides information to members on the laws and
30		regulations that impact the business operation of school districts.
31		regulations that impact the business operation of school districts.
32	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
33	Α.	
34	A.	This is the second time that ASBA/AASBO have intervened in a utility rate
35		proceeding at the Commission. In previous proceedings, we have provided public
36		comment but have been challenged by Commissioners to become more involved
37		in rate proceedings to advance the interest of Arizona public schools. This case
38		represents our second effort at doing so. ASBA/AASBO want to assist Arizona's
39		public schools in managing their energy consumption in an economic and
40		efficient manner.
41		
42	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FUNDING OF ARIZONA'S PUBLIC
43	₹.	SCHOOLS RELATIVE TO OTHER STATES.
44		
45	A.	The per pupil spending for operations by Arizona school districts ranks low when
46		compared to per pupil spending in other states. The U.S. Census Bureau in its

, ,

latest report for FY 2007 reported that Arizona per pupil spending on K-12 education ranked 47th with expenditures of \$7,196 per student. Only Utah, Idaho, and Tennessee spent less per pupil that Arizona. The U.S. Census Bureau reported Arizona spending per pupil was \$2,470 below the national average of \$9,666.

Q. ARE THERE ANY CONSTRAINTS ON THE FUNDING THAT IS AVAILABLE TO ARIZONA'S PUBLIC SCHOOLS?

A. Yes. The Arizona Legislature has prescribed budget limitations for Arizona school districts. For the upcoming 2009-10 school year, the legislative formula will determine the total amount that school districts will be allowed to spend. Increases in utility rates are not part of the funding formula and any increased costs due to utility rate increases will need to be paid for by reducing expenditures in other areas of school districts' budgets. Therefore, it is imperative to mitigate the impacts on Arizona's public schools of the rate request sought by UNS Electric in this proceeding.

Beginning with the 2009-10 school year, school districts no longer have a source of additional funds to help defray the costs of utility expenses. These additional funds had been provided by a formula called "Excess Utility Costs" and helped school districts adjust to increased utility costs due to rate increases. A new formula for school districts to assist with utility costs has not been funded by the Legislature.

Because of the significant impact that increased utility rates will have on Arizona school districts, ASBA and AASBO have taken the step of intervening in this proceeding to cooperate with the Commission and UNS Electric in developing solutions that are fair and equitable for Arizona's public schools.

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS TO MAKE IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Yes. We want to work with UNS Electric to implement their demand side management ("DSM") programs to help school districts become more energy efficient. Through these efforts, we hope to reduce demands on the energy production needs of UNS Electric and also contribute to a reduction in utility costs for school districts.

Included in this effort would be a simplified process for funding lighting improvements and equipment upgrades with funding of those projects coming from a UNS Electric DSM funding Program. The districts' share of the costs come from district payments to UNS as outlined in A.R.S. 15-213.02. Implementation of a DSM program will be of assistance to both UNS Electric and the school districts that purchase their electric power from UNS Electric.

While school districts will continue to take advantage of incentives that are in place for the installation of renewable energy resources, we believe that school districts and UNS Electric could also work on cooperative programs to expand renewable energy resources. Under this proposal, UNS Electric would use school property for renewable energy projects. UNS Electric could lease school property and compensate the school district for the use of the school property. Compensation could reflect reduced costs for electricity to the participating school districts. A cooperative effort between school districts and UNS Electric could benefit both entities and the effort could provide valuable assistance to Arizona in becoming more energy efficient.

In addition, we would like to work with UNS Electric to develop a special rate for school districts that would promote a more efficient use of electric energy and to reduce utility costs. We have already started discussions with Arizona Public Service on the development of a special rate for school districts that would support that efficient use of electric energy.

Q. DID YOU MAKE SIMILAR RECOMMENDATIONS ON BEHALF OF ASBA/AASBO IN THE PENDING ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY RATE CASE?

A. Yes.

Q. WHAT HAPPENED?

A. ASBA/AASBO participated in settlement discussions with the other parties to the APS rate case that culminated in the execution of a settlement agreement that has been filed with the Commission for its approval. The Commission conducted hearings regarding the settlement agreement and I testified in support of the agreement on behalf of ASBA/AASBO.

Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE BENEFITS OF THE APS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR ARIZONA'S PUBLIC SCHOOLS?

A. Yes. In general, the settlement agreement contains specific benefits for Arizona public schools in the areas of energy efficiency, renewable energy and rate design.

 With regard to energy efficiency, the APS settlement agreement provides that APS' 2010 implementation plan would include a customer repayment/financing program element for schools, municipalities and small businesses. This customer repayment element would be fully integrated from the perspective of the customer and not a separate offering. APS could use an actual on the bill or a parallel bill approach to implement this provision. Financing costs would be fully recoverable as a program cost.

Additionally, the settlement agreement established a goal for APS to serve, meaning the installation of measures, through its existing DSM programs or enhanced program elements, at least 100 schools by December 31, 2010.

With regard to renewable energy, the settlement agreement requires APS to file within 120 days of the Commission's order approving the settlement agreement a new program for on-site solar energy including photovoltaics, solar water heating and daylighting, at grades K-12 public (including charter) schools in its service territory that eliminates upfront customer costs. The settlement agreement establishes as a goal the installation of projects resulting in 50,000 MWhs of annual energy generation or savings within 36 months of program approval by the Commission. The settlement agreement provides further detail about how the priority of projects will be determined. In designing the program, APS is required to consider among its options, a request for proposals by developers to implement and install solar energy systems on multiple schools such that the schools pay no upfront costs. The proposal is also to include APS' estimate of costs associated with the program and its proposed method for cost recovery.

Finally, the settlement agreement requires APS to file a new optional time of use rate applicable to K-12 schools designed to provide daily and seasonal price signals to encourage load reduction during peak periods.

Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THIS SAME CONCEPTS REFLECTED IN THE APS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT COULD BE APPLIED IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes. The APS settlement agreement reflects a collaboration between APS and Arizona public schools within the company's service territory to work together so that schools can more efficiently manage their energy costs and transfer those savings into actual classroom instruction. It is our hope that through our participation in this case we can achieve the same collaboration with UNS Electric.

Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

35 A. Yes.

RESUME CHUCK R. ESSIGS

ACADEMIC BACKGROUND

Ed.D. Education Administration (major)

Special Education Administration (minor)

University of Arizona, 1982

M.Ed. Special Education (major)

Educational Administration (minor)

University of Arizona, 1973

B.A. Elementary Education

William Patterson College

New Jersey, 1969

PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS

FROFESSIONAL POSITIONS			
2003 – Present	Director of Government Relations Arizona Association of School Business Officials		
2002-04	Assistant to the Superintendent Mesa Public Schools		
2001-02	Special Advisor to the Superintendent of Public Instruction Arizona Department of Education		
1985-2001	Assistant Superintendent for Business Services and Government Relations Mesa Public Schools		
1984-85	Deputy Associate Superintendent Arizona Department of Education		
1980-84	Director of School Finance Arizona Department of Education		
1979-80	Staff Member Joint Select Committee on Tax Reform and School Finance of the Arizona Legislature		
1978-79	Director, Special Education Arizona Department of Education		
1975-78	Director of Administrative Services Division of Special Education, Arizona Department of Education		
1974-75	Assistant Director Pima County Special Services Cooperative, Pima County School Office		
1973-75	Doctoral Fellowship University of Arizona		
1972-73	Graduate Assistant University of Arizona		
1967-72	Teacher		

Butler High School, Butler, New Jersey

···· CHUCK ESSIGS

PUBLICATIONS AND TECHNICAL REPORTS

School Finance, <u>In Boardmanship</u>: A Guide for Governing Members, Arizona School Boards Association, Inc., 1994-2000

Public School and Community School Finance in Arizona, Arizona Legislature, November, 1979.

Special Education in Arizona, Joint Select Committee of the Arizona Legislature, October, 1975.

Planning for the Funding of Special Education, General-Special Education Administrative Conference, UCEA Western Region, May, 1975.

Video Tape Technology in Clinical Supervision of the Improvement of Special Education Instruction, National Council for Exceptional Children Convention, Los Angeles, April, 1975.

<u>Special Education - Planning for Programs</u>, Tucson: Bureau of School Services, University of Arizona, January, 1975.

Alternative Special Education Administrative Operations, <u>In Program Alternatives for Special Services</u>, E.S.E.A. Title III, 1974.

The Place of Clinical Supervision in Teacher Evaluation, Phi Delta Kappa Workshop, Tucson, November, 1974.

Funding Charter Schools, Arizona School Boards Journal, Arizona School Boards Association, Inc., 1995.

Funding Charter Schools in Arizona, <u>School Business Affairs</u>, Association of School Business Affairs International, 1997.

MISCELLANEOUS

Member, Joint Legislative Committee on Funding Priorities for Arizona School Districts

Member, Joint Legislative Committee on Goals for Arizona's Educational Excellence

Director, Arizona Principals' Academy III - July 1984, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona Consultant, Development of Application Procedures for P.L. 94-142, National Association of Directors of Special Education, Washington, D.C.

Consultant, Development of a Management System for the Pierre Indian School, National Indian

Training and Research Center, Tempe, Arizona

Past Member, Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities

Past Member, Arizona Council for the Deaf

Board of Directors, The Arizona Partnership, 1990

Research Staff, Governor's Finance and Equalization Subcommittee, 1991

Past President, Arizona Association of School Business Officials

Past Member, Mesa Chamber of Commerce Education Committee

Past Member, Mesa Chamber of Commerce Legislative Committee

Board of Directors, Northeast Shea Property Owners Association, 1992

1992 Good Government Award, Arizona Tax Research Association

Board of Directors, Arizona State Retirement System

Past President, Arizona State Board for School Capital Facilities, 1998

Board of Directors, Mesa Chamber of Commerce

Board of Directors, Arizona Town Hall

Governor's School Readiness Board